

Section II

Planning, Institutional Effectiveness, & Institutional Research Capacity Faculty Survey Summary

The following scale is provided to enable you to determine the extent of implementation for each element as you review the survey.

0 No implementation.

The practice has not been implemented at DSC and is not under development.

1 Under Development.

The practice is in the planning state at DSC.

2 Isolated Implementation.

There are isolated examples of the practice at DSC.

3 Partial Implementation.

The practice is being implemented in some areas of DSC in a visible and substantial way.

4 Full Implementation.

This practice is fully implemented throughout DSC.

5 Not Sure/Do not know.

	Cou	nt	Percent
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 1.	DSC engages in effective planning		
for its educational programs.	· · · ·		
Isolated 2		1	1.30 %
Partial 3		23	29.87 %
Full 4		18	62.34 %
Unknown 5		5	6.49 %
	Total Responses	77	100%
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 2.	DSC engages in effective evaluation		
of its educational programs.			
None 0		1	1.30 %
Isolated 2		1	1.30 %
Partial 3	2	27	35.06 %
Full 4		13	55.84 %
Unknown 5		5	6.49 %
	Total Responses	77	100 %
_	DSC engages in effective planning		
for its administrative and educati	onal support programs.	1	1.30 %
for its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2	onal support programs.	7	9.09 %
for its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3	onal support programs.	7	9.09 % 28.57 %
For its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4	onal support programs.	7 22 32	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 %
or its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3	onal support programs. 2 3 1	7	9.09 % 28.57 %
For its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5	onal support programs. 2 3 1 Total Responses	7 22 32 15	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 %
For its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4.	onal support programs. 2 3 1 Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation	7 22 32 15	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. Of its administrative and education	onal support programs. 2 3 1 Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation	7 22 32 15	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 %
For its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education None 0	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 15 77	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 15 77 1 3	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 % 1.30 % 3.90 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 15 77 1 3 4	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 % 1.30 % 3.90 % 5.19 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 35 77 1 3 4	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 % 1.30 % 3.90 % 5.19 % 27.27 %
For its administrative and education Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 15 77 1 3 4 21	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 % 1.30 % 3.90 % 5.19 % 27.27 % 41.56 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 4. of its administrative and education None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3	Total Responses DSC engages in effective evaluation onal support programs.	7 22 32 35 77 1 3 4	9.09 % 28.57 % 41.56 % 19.48 % 100 % 1.30 % 3.90 % 5.19 % 27.27 %

		Count	Percent
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 5. DSC evaluates stud	dent achievement		
through the use of key performance indicators such			
licensing exams, Regents' Testing Program, and job	placement rates.		
Planned 1		1	1.30 %
Isolated 2		2	2.60 %
Partial 3		10	12.99 %
Full 4		61	79.22 %
Unknown 5		3	3.90 %
	Total Responses	77	100 %
Name of the stireness of ID C. The managestic of	the DCC annual		
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 6. The preparation of budget is preceded by adequate educational plannin			
budget is preceded by adequate educational planning	ıy.		
None 0		4	5.19 %
Planned 1		2	2.60 %
Isolated 2		2	2.60 %
Partial 3		16	20.78 %
Full 4		26	33.77 %
Unknown 5		27	35.06 %
	Total Responses	77	100 %
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 7. The Office of Institu	utional Research		
at DSC is effective in collecting, analyzing, and disse	eminating		
nformation and data.			
None 0		1	1.30 %
Planned 1		1	1.30 %
Partial 3		9	11.69 %
Full 4		55	71.43 %
Unknown 5		11	14.29 %
	Total Responses	77	100 %

Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 8. IR staff members are adequately trained in data analysis, especially in cohort tracking techniques. Planned 1
Planned 1
Solated 2
Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 9. IR staffing is adequate to meet demands for data and research. None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planned 1 Total Responses 77 100 % Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Full 4 Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 9. IR staffing is adequate to meet demands for data and research. None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Unknown 5 32 41.56 %
Total Responses 77 100 %
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 9. IR staffing is adequate to meet demands for data and research. None 0 1 1.30 % Planned 1 2 2.60 % Isolated 2 1 1.30 % Partial 3 16.88 % Full 4 29 37.66 % Unknown 5 31 40.26 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
None 0
Planned 1 2 2.60 % Isolated 2 1 1.30 % Partial 3 13 16.88 % Full 4 29 37.66 % Unknown 5 31 40.26 % Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as esponsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Isolated 2
Partial 3 Full 4 Unknown 5 29 37.66 % Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Full 4 Unknown 5 29 37.66 % 31 40.26 % Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Unknown 5 Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Total Responses 77 100 % Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 10. IR staff members are seen as responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
responsive to requests for information from administrators, faculty,
None 0 2 2.60 %
Planned 1 1 1.30 %
Partial 3 5 6.49 %
Full 4 52 67.53 %
Unknown 5 17 22.08 %
Total Responses 77 100 %
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 11. IR staff members are skilled at clearly communicating research findings to key audiences.
Planned 1 2 2.60 %
Isolated 2 1 1.30 %
Partial 3 15 19.48 %
Full 4 46 59.74 %
Unknown 5 13 16.88 %
Total Responses 77 100 %

	Count	Percent
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 12. IR staff members routinel	y works	
with faculty and staff to analyze data on student success an	=	
projects (e.g., Strategic Planning).		
None 0	1	1.30 %
Planned 1	2	2.60 %
Isolated 2	2	2.60 %
Partial 3	17	22.08 %
Full 4	43	55.84 %
Unknown 5	12	15.58 %
Total	Responses 77	100 %
Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4	1 1 12 48	1.30 % 1.30 % 15.58 % 62.34 %
Unknown 5	14	18.18 %
Total	Responses 77	100 %
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 14. The IR office has more the administrative support role (i.e., not just compliance reporting R function is integral to the management of DSC.		
Planned 1	2	2.60 %
Isolated 2	2	2.60 %
	•	40.00.0/
Partial 3	8	10.39 %
Partial 3 Full 4	8 44	57.14 %

	Count	Percent
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 15. DSC regularly collects and		
analyzes data on student retention, credit accumulation, course and		
program completion, transfer and other outcomes, rather than simply		
compiling enrollment data.		
Planned 1	2	2.60 %
Isolated 2	1	1.30 %
Partial 3	10	12.99 %
Full 4	58	75.32 %
Unknown 5	6	7.79 %
Total Response	es 77	100 %
None 0 Planned 1 Isolated 2 Partial 3 Full 4	2 1 3 13 35	2.60 % 1.30 % 3.90 % 16.88 % 45.45 %
Unknown 5	23	29.87 %
Total Response Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 17. IR routinely disaggregates analysis by age, race, gender, family income, and other factors to	es 77	100 %
identify gaps in achievement among student groups.		
Planned 1	2	2.60 %
Isolated 2	1	1.30 %
Partial 3	4	5.19 %
Full 4	44	57.14 %
Unknown 5	26	33.77 %

	Count	Percent
Planning, Effectiveness, & IR - 18. DSC regularly conducts surveys and focus groups with students, faculty, and staff to identify weaknesses in programs and services and opportunities for		
mprovement.		
None 0	2	2.60 %
Planned 1	2	2.60 %
Isolated 2	5	6.49 %
Partial 3	16	20.78 %
Full 4	39	50.65 %
Unknown 5	13	16.88 %
Total Respons	es 77	100 %

August 5, 2010 Page 6 of 6