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## Interpreting the Multi-Year Benchmark Report

For institutions that have participated in multiple NSSE administrations, this Multi-Year Benchmark Report presents comparable benchmark scores by year so that patterns of change or stability may be discernible. It also provides statistics such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that shorthand mean comparison tests can be calculated.

Questions that might be answered with this report include, "How stable was the level of student-faculty interaction over the years?" or "Given the implementation of initiative X three years ago, did the level of active and collaborative learning increase?"

This report has three main parts: (a) a table of data quality indicators (p. 3), which provides a quick reference to important statistics for each year's participation, (b) multi-year charts, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features of (b) and (c) are described below using data from the fictional "NSSEville State University."

For more information and recommendations for analyzing past and present NSSE data for trends or stability, consult the Multi-Year Data Analysis Guide: www.nsse.iub.edu/pdf/NSSE Multi-Year Data Analysis Guide.pdf.

Key Terms and Features in this Report

| Y-Axis |
| :--- |
| Benchmarks are computed on |
| a 0 to 100 scale, however |
| nearly all institutional scores |
| are between the y-axis values |
| of 15 and 85 . |

Dalton State College

Some NSSE administrations at an institution may yield more precise population estimates than others. The values in this table were drawn from the Respondent Characteristics reports for each NSSE administration. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review the quality of your data for both first-year and senior respondents in each year.

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mode ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Response <br> Rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | Sampling Error ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Number of Respondents ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY | SR | FY | SR | FY | SR |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Paper | 22\% | 42\% | 12.5\% | 7.7\% | 59 | 95 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Web+ | 30\% | 43\% | 3.6\% | 5.0\% | 557 | 217 |
| 2009 | Web | 33\% | 47\% | 3.8\% | 4.4\% | 441 | 263 |

[^0]
## Dalton State College

## First-Year Students

## Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)



Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)


Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)



Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)


Notes:

- Benchmark scores are charted for all years of participation. See page 5 for detailed statistics.
- For more information and recommendations for analyzing multi-year NSSE data, consult the MultiYear Data Analysis Guide: www.nsse.iub.edu/pdf/NSSE Multi-Year Data Analysis Guide.pdf.

NSSE 2009 Multi-Year Benchmark Report
Detailed Statistics ${ }^{a}$
Dalton State College

|  |  | First-Year Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Level of Academic Challenge | LAC |  | 45.7 |  |  | 49.0 | 49.3 |
|  | n |  | 52 |  |  | 503 | 402 |
|  | SD |  | 16.0 |  |  | 14.9 | 14.3 |
|  | SEM |  | 2.24 |  |  | . 66 | . 71 |
|  | Upper |  | 50.1 |  |  | 50.3 | 50.7 |
|  | Lower |  | 41.3 |  |  | 47.7 | 47.9 |
| Active and Collaborative Learning | ACL |  | 41.0 |  |  | 40.7 | 43.1 |
|  | n |  | 53 |  |  | 546 | 422 |
|  | SD |  | 14.2 |  |  | 17.3 | 17.7 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.96 |  |  | . 74 | . 86 |
|  | Upper |  | 44.8 |  |  | 42.1 | 44.8 |
|  | Lower |  | 37.1 |  |  | 39.2 | 41.4 |
| Student <br> Faculty <br> Interaction | SFI |  | 31.7 |  |  | 33.8 | 33.2 |
|  | n |  | 52 |  |  | 505 | 405 |
|  | SD |  | 17.4 |  |  | 18.3 | 18.8 |
|  | SEM |  | 2.42 |  |  | . 82 | . 93 |
|  | Upper |  | 36.5 |  |  | 35.4 | 35.1 |
|  | Lower |  | 27.0 |  |  | 32.2 | 31.4 |
| Enriching <br> Educational <br> Experiences | EEE |  | 21.7 |  |  | 22.6 | 25.3 |
|  | n |  | 51 |  |  | 491 | 397 |
|  | SD |  | 12.9 |  |  | 14.5 | 14.1 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.81 |  |  | . 66 | . 71 |
|  | Upper |  | 25.2 |  |  | 23.9 | 26.7 |
|  | Lower |  | 18.1 |  |  | 21.3 | 24.0 |
| Supportive <br> Campus <br> Environment | SCE |  | 55.3 |  |  | 60.7 | 60.3 |
|  | n |  | 51 |  |  | 469 | 390 |
|  | SD |  | 19.7 |  |  | 19.5 | 19.4 |
|  | SEM |  | 2.77 |  |  | . 90 | . 98 |
|  | Upper |  | 60.7 |  |  | 62.5 | 62.2 |
|  | Lower |  | 49.9 |  |  | 58.9 | 58.4 |
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NSSE 2009 Multi-Year Benchmark Report Multi-Year Charts

## Dalton State College

## Seniors

## Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)



Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)


Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)


Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)


Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)


Notes:

- Benchmark scores are charted for all years of participation. See page 7 for detailed statistics.
- For more information and recommendations for analyzing multi-year NSSE data, consult the MultiYear Data Analysis Guide: www.nsse.iub.edu/pdf/NSSE Multi-Year Data Analysis Guide.pdf.
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## Dalton State College

|  |  | Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Level of <br> Academic Challenge | LAC |  | 53.3 |  |  | 55.6 | 58.8 |
|  | n |  | 94 |  |  | 208 | 255 |
|  | SD |  | 16.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.2 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.65 |  |  | 1.04 | . 95 |
|  | Upper |  | 56.6 |  |  | 57.6 | 60.7 |
|  | Lower |  | 50.1 |  |  | 53.6 | 56.9 |
| Active and Collaborative Learning | ACL |  | 43.2 |  |  | 54.0 | 55.4 |
|  | n |  | 94 |  |  | 216 | 256 |
|  | SD |  | 16.8 |  |  | 18.4 | 17.4 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.74 |  |  | 1.26 | 1.09 |
|  | Upper |  | 46.6 |  |  | 56.5 | 57.5 |
|  | Lower |  | 39.8 |  |  | 51.6 | 53.2 |
| Student <br> Faculty <br> Interaction | SFI |  | 35.6 |  |  | 42.2 | 42.7 |
|  | n |  | 94 |  |  | 209 | 255 |
|  | SD |  | 17.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 20.9 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.80 |  |  | 1.41 | 1.31 |
|  | Upper |  | 39.1 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.3 |
|  | Lower |  | 32.0 |  |  | 39.4 | 40.2 |
| Enriching <br> Educational <br> Experiences | EEE |  | 29.7 |  |  | 36.3 | 35.5 |
|  | n |  | 94 |  |  | 204 | 256 |
|  | SD |  | 19.6 |  |  | 17.7 | 19.2 |
|  | SEM |  | 2.03 |  |  | 1.24 | 1.20 |
|  | Upper |  | 33.7 |  |  | 38.8 | 37.8 |
|  | Lower |  | 25.7 |  |  | 33.9 | 33.1 |
| Supportive <br> Campus Environment | SCE |  | 55.3 |  |  | 61.8 | 62.0 |
|  | n |  | 94 |  |  | 201 | 252 |
|  | SD |  | 19.2 |  |  | 18.5 | 19.3 |
|  | SEM |  | 1.98 |  |  | 1.30 | 1.22 |
|  | Upper |  | 59.1 |  |  | 64.4 | 64.4 |
|  | Lower |  | 51.4 |  |  | 59.3 | 59.6 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ All NSSE administration years since 2004 are listed regardless of participation.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Modes include Paper (students receive a paper survey and the option of completing a Web version), Web (students receive all correspondence by e-mail and complete the Web version), and Web+ (students initially invited to participate via e-mail; a subgroup of nonrespondents receive paper surveys).
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) were adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable mailing addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.
    ${ }^{d}$ Sampling error gauges the precision of estimates based on a sample survey. It is an estimate of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. Data with larger sampling errors (such as $+/-10 \%$ ) need not be dismissed out of hand, but any results using them should be interpreted more conservatively.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ This is the original count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each administration's Respondent Characteristics report. This number includes all randomly sampled students. In 2004 and 2005 it may also include targeted oversamples. For this reason, the counts for 2004 and 2005 may not match those given in the detailed statistics on pages 5 and 7.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ n=number of respondents; $S D=$ standard deviation; $S E M$ =standard error of the mean; Upper/Lower=95\% confidence interval limits

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{n}=$ number of respondents; $S D=$ standard deviation; $S E M=$ standard error of the mean; Upper/Lower=95\% confidence interval limits

