EDUC 3263 - Teach Cont & Proc: Language Arts Education

Course Description
This course will provide undergraduate students with knowledge and skills to instruct students in all areas of language arts, usage, language acquisition, and the development of the language arts curriculum for early childhood/elementary settings.

Program Outcomes/Goals

POG1 Effective and Professional Educator
The student will demonstrate the knowledge and characteristics of an effective and professional educator, including a commitment to caring, collaboration and professional development.

Student Learning Outcomes

SLO1 Collaboration on current research in Language Arts
The student will work with a partner to effectively present in an area in the language arts, demonstrating collaborative skills.

Supported Initiatives

4 Standards 0 General Education 0 Institutional Priorities 0 Strategic Initiatives

Action Plans for Improvement

Action Plans for Improvement Description
Although I met the intended target for this semester, I will continue to strive for even higher scores in this area. Collaboration is one of the pillars of our program, and is a vital part of this assignment. In talking with the students about these scores, I was able to attain some information which will be vital in helping me as I introduce this assignment to future classes. I will make certain that the roles within this collaborative assignment are fully defined, and the

Due Date
Dec 15 2017

Status
participants have the opportunity to discuss these roles among themselves prior to the beginning of this assignment. I will also make certain to "check in" with each group at the midway point and ask them to informally assess their progress and their collaboration. I will also give additional class time for groups to work together while I am present to assist and provide support. By implementing these measures, I feel certain I can avoid some of the pitfalls one group in particular met during this time.

Due Date: December 15, 2017
Status: Planned

Measures

M1 Language Arts Research Presentation

Students will work with a partner to select, review, and present current research to the class using a variety of presentation modes such as PowerPoint, Prezi, tri-folds, posters, charts, etc. to effectively engage the audience.

Methodology

This assignment will be assessed using a Research Presentation rubric.

Source of Evidence: Presentation - Academic Direct

Target

Students will score a minimum of twelve or more points out of a possible score of fifteen points on the presentation/collaboration component of the research presentation rubric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Improvements Achieved from Previous Action Plans</th>
<th>Improvement Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>This course EDUC3263 had 26 students enrolled and was taught on Dalton State main campus in a traditional face to face format in Spring 2017. Out of 26 students, 24 (92.3%), students achieved 12 or more points on the Research Collaboration assignment rubric. The class average on this assignment was 14.62 which is 97.47%.</td>
<td>No previous action plans were put into place as this is the first time the instructor has taught this course.</td>
<td>Academic: Improved Performance</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of Finding and Evaluation Results

https://daltonstate.intentional.works/effect-report.html?pcRequestKey={d9282e79-c067-4547-...
Subject Matter Competency

The student will demonstrate competency of subject matter essential to effective teaching.

Student Learning Outcomes

Diagnostic Assessment of Student Writing

The student will select a piece of learner writing, score that writing using a rubric, and provide a diagnostic plan for improvement.

Supported Initiatives

4 Standards 0 General Education 0 Institutional Priorities 0 Strategic Initiatives

Action Plans for Improvement

Action Plans for Improvement Description

Although I met the target for this critical assignment, I can certainly make additional improvements. Since twenty four of
Measures

Critical Assignment: Teaching Task Three: Writing

The students will select a piece of student writing, score using a rubric, and provide a diagnostic plan for improvement. Following this task, the student will then compose a paper which will provide evidence for each score received, a summary of learner areas for improvement and strengths, and instructional plans for facilitating that improvement.

Methodology

The Teaching Task Three - Writing paper will be assessed by using the Rubric for Critical Assignment Teaching Task Three Diagnostic Assessment of Student Writing Paper.

Source of Evidence: Written assignment - Academic Direct

Target

Students will score a minimum of 25 points of 30 on the Critical Assignment Writing Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Improvements Achieved from Previous Action Plans</th>
<th>Improvement Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>This course EDUC3263 had 26 students enrolled and was taught on Dalton State main campus in a traditional face to face format in Spring 2017. Out of 26 students, 24 (92.3%) students achieved 25 points or more on the Critical Assignment: Teaching Task III Writing Assignment. The class average on this assignment was 27.7 points (92.3%).</td>
<td>No previous action plans are in place as this is the first time the instructor has taught this course.</td>
<td>Academic: Improved Performance</td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On this Critical Assignment: Teaching Task III Writing Assignment, there is a maximum possible total of 30 points based on the Rubric. Twenty four (92.3%) of the students scored twenty five or more points on points out of the thirty possible points on this rubric. We discussed this assignment in class in some detail and opportunities were given for clarification. The assignment expectations were also posted on GaView. Student questions were answered in class and I modeled critical sections. One student earned a score of twenty four points and the other earned a score of twenty. The student earning a score of twenty four lacked special details regarding the instructional steps she would take to move the case study student to the next level of his/her writing. The student scoring a twenty had uncited statements and also did not provide specific interventions to assist her case study student. It was obvious to me that specificity was something I needed to stress the next time I give this assignment. I also need to make certain that the students understand the reasoning behind such an expectation. This assignment is a very strong one as it measures the students’ ability to evaluate a piece of writing, justify their reasoning through examples from the text and meet learner needs by designing instruction that will capitalize on their strengths and build on their areas of needs.

Related Projects

There are no related projects to this project.
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